

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/033,225	WILEY, JEFFREY G.
	Examiner Fred I. Ehichioya	Art Unit 2162

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya. (3) _____

(2) Nathan Reith (Reg. No. 44,302). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15, 16 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative (Mr. Reith) called and left a message for the Examiner on June 14, 2007 that the examiner's amendment regarding claims 15, 16 and 26 as a result of BPAI-decision was not properly amended and that a further amendment to these claim is required. The examiner review the notice of allowance and called Mr. Reith and left a message @ 208-396-5287 that a supplemental examiner's amendment with the changes will be sent to the applicant shortly.